

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,048	04/21/2004	Lei Jin	H0006476 (4780)	7416
7590 11/15/2006			EXAMINER	
Richard S. Roberts			SMITH, BRADLEY	
P.O. Box 484 Princeton, NJ 08542-0484			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
rimeeton, NJ	06342-0464		2891	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/829,048	JIN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Bradley K. Smith	2891		
Period for	• •				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		1			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{1}{2}$	[
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the applicat	tion			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-32 is/are withdra				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)区	Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
-/.	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer		pplication No.		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		· ·		
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-26, drawn to a dielectric film, classified in class 427, subclass 384.
- II. Claims 27-29, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 750.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the film does not have to be used in a semiconductor device. The subcombination has separate utility such as a coating.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or

any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 2891

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley K. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on 571-272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bradley K Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 2891